XAVIER BECERRA 1 Attorney General of California DAVID G. ALDERSON 2 Supervising Deputy Attorney General SHARI B. POSNER 3 Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 168738 4 1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor P.O. Box 70550 JUN 1 2 2019 5 Oakland, CA 94612-0550 CLERK OF THE COURT (510) 879-0856, fax: (510) 622-2270 6 Shari.Posner@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Respondent 7 Department of Parks & Recreation 8 SUSAN BRANDT-HAWLEY/SBN 75907 P.O. Box 1659 9 Glen Ellen, CA 95442 707.938.3900, fax 707.938.3200 10 susanbh@preservationlawyers.com Attorney for Real Party in Interest 11 Friends of the Willow Glen Trestle 12 13 14 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 15 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO 16 17 Case No. CPF-18-516021 CITY OF SAN JOSE, a municipal 18 corporation, 19 Petitioner, [Proposed] 20 v. JUDGMENT DENYING PETITION 21 FOR WRIT OF MANDATE STATE HISTORICAL RESOURCES 22 COMMISSION, a public body; et al., 23 Respondents, 24 FRIENDS OF THE WILLOW GLEN TRESTLE, 25 Real Party in Interest. 26 27 28

The merits of the Petition for Writ of Mandate came on for hearing on March 7, 2019 in Department 302, the Honorable Ethan P. Schulman presiding. Deputy Attorney General Shari B. Posner appeared for Respondents, attorney Susan Brandt-Hawley appeared for Real Party in Interest, and Senior Deputy City Attorney Margo Laskowska appeared for Petitioner.

- 1. Following supplemental briefing, on April 2, 2019 this Court issued its Order for Interlocutory Remand, herein incorporated by reference. The Order remanded the matter to Respondent State Historical Resources Commission (the Commission) to clarify its findings supporting the listing of the Willow Glen Trestle in the California Register of Historical Resources over the objections of the City of San José, as required by Public Resources Code § 5024.1(f)(3). The California Supreme Court ruled in *Voices of the Wetlands v. State Water Resources Control Board* (2011) 52 Cal.4th 499, 529-530, that Code Civil Procedure § 1094.5 allows postponement of judgment pending an interlocutory remand for agency reconsideration of one or more specific findings. *The Highway 68 Coalition v. County of Monterey* (2017) 14 Cal.App.5th 883, 884, thus subsequently allowed interlocutory remand before judgment to clarify findings, stating it was "eminently practical and well within the court's inherent power in a mandamus action to remand to the agency for further proceedings prior to the entry of a final judgment."
- 2. Pursuant to the Order for Interlocutory Remand, the Commission adopted revised findings on May 8, 2019, at its regularly-scheduled and duly-noticed quarterly public meeting, explaining its decision to list the Willow Glen Trestle in the California Register over the objections of the City of San José.
- 3. The Commission timely lodged its revised findings with the Court on May 21, 2019. The Court having reviewed the revised findings, and

///

26 ///

27 ///

1	GOOD CAUSE APPEARING,
. 2	The Petition for Writ of Mandate is DENIED .
3	IT IS SO ORDERED
4	
5	
6	Date: June 12, 2019 III a. D. Char
7	/ Van John
.8	THE HONORABLE ETHAN P. SCHULMAN JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
9	,
10	
11	OK2018300341
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	·
17	
18	
19	·
20	
21	
22	
23	
. 24	
25	
26	
27	
28	